

Tetrahedron Letters 43 (2002) 3225–3228

Reinvestigation of the fluoride-triggered condensation of allyltrimethylsilane with cinnamonitrile: 'abnormal Sakurai' and sequential 'abnormal Sakurai'–Michael–Thorpe Ziegler side reactions[†]

Laurent Keller,^a Françoise Dumas,^{a,*} Mathieu Pizzonero,^a Jean d'Angelo,^{a,*} Georges Morgant^b and Dung Nguyen-Huy^b

^aUnité de Chimie Organique Associée au CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, Faculté de Pharmacie, 5, rue J.-B. Clément, 92296 Châtenay-Malabry, France

^bLaboratoire de Cristallochimie Bioinorganique, Université Paris-Sud, Faculté de Pharmacie, 5, rue J.-B. Clément, 92296 Châtenay-Malabry, France

Received 29 January 2002; accepted 5 March 2002

Abstract—Together with the expected 1,4-adduct, three by-products are generated in the fluoride-triggered condensation of allyltrimethylsilane with cinnamonitrile: the 1,3 adduct, an acyclic dinitrile and a cyclopentenaminonitrile issuing, respectively, from 'abnormal Sakurai', 'abnormal Sakurai'–Michael and 'abnormal Sakurai'–Michael–Thorpe Ziegler side reactions. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The conjugate addition of allylsilanes to electrophilic alkenes, referred to as Sakurai-Hosomi reaction has been recognized as a particularly efficient method of C-C bond formation, and has played an important role in the area of synthetic organic chemistry.¹ In 1986, Majetich et al. reported that the condensation of cinnamonitrile (1) with allyltrimethylsilane (2), promoted by a catalytic amount of n-Bu₄NF (TBAF) furnished the 1,4-adduct 3 with a 65% yield.² In connection with our sustained synthetic efforts in the cephalotaxine series,³ we recently reinvestigated this condensation to assess its utility in the construction of this alkaloid. In this paper, we show that together with adduct 3, three unexpected by-products 4, 5 and 6 are in fact generated in the fluoride-triggered addition of 1 to 2, the ratio of which depended essentially on the time of addition and the concentration of the reagents (Scheme 1).

Surprisingly, when the condensation of 1 with 2 was conducted under the reaction conditions reported by

Majetich² (*dropwise* addition at 20°C of a 1 M solution of 3 equiv. of **2** and 3 equiv. of HMPA in DMF to a 0.6 M solution of 1 equiv. of **1** and 0.1 equiv. of TBAF in DMF, followed by 15 min stirring at 20°C and hydrolytic workup with MeOH and HCl), the main product characterized was invariably the cyclopentene derivative **5** (20–30% yield), accompanied by varying quantities of acyclic by-product **6** (0–10% yield) and only a minute amount of adduct **3** (ca. 5% yield). Compound **5**,⁴ a low melting point (58–59°C) solid, was





0040-4039/02/\$ - see front matter © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(02)00456-2

Keywords: mechanisms; Michael reactions; rearrangements; Sakurai reactions; Thorpe and Thorpe–Ziegler reactions.

^{*} Corresponding authors. Fax: +33(0)146835752; e-mail: francoise.dumas@cep.u-psud.fr; jean.dangelo@cep.u-psud.fr

[†] This paper is dedicated to Professor Gilbert Stork on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

assigned a molecular formula of $C_{21}H_{20}N_2$ established by elemental analysis and mass spectroscopy, corresponding to the formal condensation of one allyl unit with two molecules of cinnamonitrile (1). The gross structure of **5** was deduced from detailed analysis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, aided with 2D NMR experiments (¹H–¹H COSY, HMQC and HMBC) and of the IR spectrum which revealed the presence of a β -enaminonitrile moiety (ν (NH) at 3480 and 3363 cm⁻¹, ν (CN) at 2185 cm⁻¹ and ν (C=C) at 1639 cm⁻¹). Finally, the full structure of **5**, including the relative configuration of the three stereogenic centers was defined as ($3R^*, 4S^*, 5R^*$)-3-allyl-2-amino-4,5-diphenyl-cyclopent-1-enecarbonitrile by means of a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. ORTEP view of 5 with labeled heteroatoms and stereogenic atoms. Thermal ellipsoids are scaled to 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms shown are drawn to an arbitrary scale.

Structure of the second by-product was elucidated to be $(2R^*, 3S^*, 4R^*)$ -2-allyl-3,4-diphenyl-hexanedinitrile (6)⁵ on the basis of molecular ion peak at m/z 300 in mass spectroscopy, of IR spectrum and of ¹H and ¹³C NMR data including 2D-experiments. The depicted relative configuration of the three stereogenic centers, although not definitively established, rests on mechanistic considerations assuming that dinitrile 6 and enaminonitrile 5 both derived from the parent carbanion 15 (vide infra, Scheme 3).

Both by-products 5 and 6 arising from a further intermolecular nucleophilic addition (see Schemes 2 and 3), we reasoned that this undesirable side reaction may be minimized by simple lowering of the concentration of reactants 1 and 2. To support this hypothesis, the above Majetich protocol² was repeated, having diluted 10times the reagents in DMF and having added all at once the solution of 2 and HMPA to the solution of 1 and TBAF. In such conditions, the main products isolated were found to be the expected 1,4-adduct 3^2 and its regioisomer 4 as ca. 2:1 mixture in 90% combined yield, along with a small amount of by-product 5 (ca. 5%) vield). All attempts at separating the components of mixture (3+4),⁶ employing standard chromatographic methods (TLC, GC, HPLC) proved to be unsuccessful. However, the minor component of this mixture was authenticated as the 'abnormal Sakurai adduct' (2-benzyl-4-pentenenitrile, 4, resulting from the formal 1,3*addition* of allylsilane 2 to nitrile 1), by comparison of its ¹H and ¹³C NMR data with those reported in the literature.7

Formation of products 3, 4, 5 and 6 can be rationalized, invoking the fluoride-triggered autocatalytic mechanism depicted in Schemes 2 and 3. As previously postulated,² the process is probably initiated by the nucleophilic pentacoordinate silicon complex 7 issuing from the condensation of TBAF with allylsilane 2. 1,4-Addition of 7 to nitrile 1 next delivers anion 8, the



Scheme 2.



Scheme 3.

trapping of which by TMSF furnishing the neutral *N*-silylated species **9**. Anion **8** is also capable of equilibrating with regioisomer **12**, through the benzylic anion **11**. This rearrangement first involves a 1,2-anion shift and concomitant sigmatropic transposition of the allyl group, possibly via the nonclassical silicon complex **10**, leading to transient benzylic ion **11**. The latter next stabilizes by 1,2-[*H*] transfer, giving rise to translocated anion **12** which is trapped with TMSF to afford the *N*-silylated derivative **13** (Scheme 2).

The two-step anionic rearrangement $[8 \rightarrow 12]$ deserves comment. The first step $[8 \rightarrow 11]$ being clearly thermodynamically disfavored (compare the pK_a values of acetonitrile and toluene, 31 and ca. 43, respectively), the process requires a high energy of activation. However, since carbanions 8 and 12 are both stabilized by an α -nitrile group, one may infer that they are close in energy. Therefore, provided that a stationary state is attained, a close population of regioisomeric anions 8 and 12 is expected. On the other hand, it should be noted that the benzylic anion 11, being less stabilized (and less populated) than its congeners 8 and 12 is correlatively much more reactive, and therefore can play the role of favorite nucleophilic partner in a subsequent Michael addition. In fact, when the reactants are present at a relatively high concentration level a competing Michael-type addition of benzylic anion 11 to a second molecule of cinnamonitrile (1) now occurs, leading to carbanion 15 precursor of silvlated compound 16. It is noteworthy that, although primarily governed by the concentration of reagents, this Michael addition is thermodynamically favored, the developing carbanion 15 being much more stabilized than its progenitor 11. Alternatively, anion 15 can undergo a Thorpe–Ziegler annulation,⁸ furnishing 17 precursor of 18. Further protiodesilylation during workup of the N-silylated compounds 9, 13, 18 and 16 finally delivers products 3, 4, 5 and 6, respectively (Scheme 3).

The remarkable complete stereocontrol of the three stereogenic centers present in by-products **5** and **6** can be interpreted, assuming first that the transient benzylic anion retains the configuration shown in formula **11** (one enantiomer is arbitrarily represented), reflecting on

the one hand the *trans* stereochemistry of starting nitrile 1, and on the other hand the *anti* relationship between the migrating allyl appendage and the bridged silicon ion in putative intermediate 10. The stereochemical course of the subsequent Michael addition of benzylic anion 11 to α,β -ethylenic nitrile 1, furnishing the fully stereocontrolled pivotal ion 15 can in turn be rationalized, invoking approach 14. The reason for the orientation of the reactants in this approach presumably lies in a conjunction of two factors: the positioning of the nitrile ends close to each other, a prerequisite of the subsequent Thorpe–Ziegler ring closure, and the preferential attack of anion 11 on the *Re* π -face of electrophilic partner 1, thus minimizing the Ph–Ph repulsive interaction.

To conclude, we have demonstrated that under standard operating conditions the fluoride-mediated condensation of cinnamonitrile (1) with allyltrimethylsilane (2) furnished essentially a mixture of by-products 5 and 6, resulting from sequential 'abnormal Sakurai'– Michael–Thorpe Ziegler and 'abnormal Sakurai'– Michael side reactions. Although these undesirable processes could be almost completely suppressed by an appropriate dilution of the reaction medium, the synthesis of the desired Sakurai adduct 3 was found now to be thwarted by the concomitant formation of a significant amount of the 'abnormal regioisomer' 4.

Acknowledgements

M.P. is grateful for the fellowship funding from CNRS and Oncopharm SA. We kindly acknowledge Dr. J. Mahuteau and Dr. M. Ourevitch for the valuable assistance with NMR studies and Mrs. S. Mairesse-Lebrun for performing elemental analyses (Centre d'Etudes Pharmaceutiques, Châtenay-Malabry).

References

 For a recent reference, see: Lee, P. H.; Lee, K.; Sung, S.; Chang, S. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8646–8649.

- Majetich, G.; Casares, A.; Chapman, D.; Behnke, M. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1745–1753.
- de Oliveira, E. R.; Dumas, F.; d'Angelo, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3723–3726.
- 4. Enaminonitrile **5**: White solid; mp 58–59°C (AcOEt/pentane); $R_{\rm f}$ =0.21 (silica gel, 20% AcOEt in cyclohexane); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) v: 3480, 3363, 2185, 1639, 1597; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ : 2.27–2.34 (m, 2H), 2.84 (t, *J*=8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (m, 1H), 4.01 (d, *J*=8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (bs, 2H), 5.14 (d, *J*=10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (d, *J*=13.7 Hz, 1H), 5.72–5.86 (m, 1H), 7.03–7.15 (m, 4H), 7.19–7.32 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz) δ : 163.3 (C), 142.2 (C), 141.4 (C), 135.1 (CH), 128.4 (2CH), 128.2 (2CH), 127.8 (2CH), 127.1 (2CH), 126.8 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 118.2 (C), 118.1 (CH₂), 77.1 (C), 59.2 (CH), 56.4 (CH), 51.0 (CH), 35.3 (CH₂); MS (EI, –70.0 V) *m/z* (rel. intensity): 301 (M+1, 14), 300 (*M*, 51), 271 (30), 259 (100), 256 (63). Anal. calcd C, 83.96; H, 6.71; N, 9.33. Found: C, 83.81; H, 6.70; N, 9.30%.
- 5. Dinitrile 6: White solid; mp 159–160°C; $R_f = 0.47$ (silica gel, 20% AcOEt in cyclohexane); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) v: 2243;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ : 1.98 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (d, J=5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (d, J=2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dt, J=7.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (dd, J=12.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (ddd, J=7.2, 4.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (dq, J=17.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dq, J=10.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (ddt, J=17.0, 10.3, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.60 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz) δ : 139.0 (C), 136.4 (C), 132.8 (CH), 129.7 (4CH), 129.5 (CH), 128.7 (2CH), 128.6 (2CH), 127.6 (CH), 119.0 (2C), 117.7 (CH₂), 49.8 (CH), 45.4 (CH), 34.9 (CH₂), 34.6 (CH), 23.8 (CH₂); MS (ESI, +32.0 V, MeOH+HCOONH₄) m/z (rel. intensity): 323 (M+Na⁺, 67), 318 (M+NH₄⁺, 100), 301 (M+H⁺, 32).

- 6. Mixture of regioisomers (3+4): colorless oil; R_f=0.62 (silica gel, 20% AcOEt in cyclohexane); selected pertinent ¹³C NMR data (CDCl₃, 50 MHz) δ: 3: 23.8 (CH₂), 39.1 (CH₂), 41.6 (CH); 4: 33.4 (CH), 35.6 (CH₂), 37.6 (CH₂).
- Walters, M. A.; Hoem, A. B.; McDonough, C. S. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 56–62.
- For an example of Michael–Thorpe Ziegler tandem reaction, see: Murugan, P.; Raghukumar, V.; Ramakrishnan, V. T. Synth. Commun. 1999, 29, 3881–3887.